

## **UC U.S./British History and Women's Studies Consortium**

University of California, Berkeley

10 December 2007

10:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Present: Sherri Barnes (UCSB), John Bloomberg-Rissman (UCR), Jim Dooley (UCM), Becky Imamoto, Elliot Kanter (Chair, UCSD), (UCI), David Michalski (UCD), Alanna Aiko Moore (UCSD), Margaret Phillips (UCB), Debbi Renfrow (UCR), Kerry Scott (UCSC), Ben Stone (Stanford), Chimene Tucker (UCSB).  
Guests: Chuck Eckman (UCB), Emily Stambaugh (CDL Shared Print)

### Preliminary Business:

Minutes of 4-5 June Meeting: approved

Date and Location of June 2008 meeting: UCSB, 9-10 June 2008

Chair for 2008/9: Kerry Scott

Archivist (term unspecified): David Michalski

### Campus reports

There was a discussion of UCSD's, UCD's and Stanford's space problems. No action items.

### Report by JSC/CDC representative (Chuck Eckman)

Chuck walked us through CDC and JSC agendas of upcoming meetings. Discussions followed:

- a) concerning content-rich vs. A&I resources and how A&I resources, e-links notwithstanding, are trending towards fewer and fewer hits, or so available data seems to indicate. Google Scholar was defined in this context as an A&I resource. The focus of the discussion was on choosing resources that provide the most value.
- b) concerning online reference books. CDC and JSC were asked to find a way to approach these on a systemwide level, since it is felt that that would be a better model than a campus-by-campus approach.
- c) concerning ProQuest Newspapers (current, not historical), since costs are being pushed to campuses as of 1 January 2008.

### Budget allocation issues and priorities at UC campus libraries (Sherri Barnes)

Sherri requested a round-robin, campus by campus, to see how each campus allocated funds to disciplines; the group obliged.

UCSB: There is one allocation for slips & firm orders (all formats); another allocation for approval plants. Amounts are calculated according to statistical data on number of students and faculty by division and schools.

UCD: There are two big funds, one for humanities, one for social sciences

UCR: Each subject specialists as authority over purchases of all monographs; standing orders will soon be included.

UCSD: Each bibliographer has an allocation for direct orders, slips and approval plans in each of their subject areas (books received cannot be returned). Continuations are also tracked by subject, but increases are covered without a hit to subject allocation. New continuations require either a cancellation or a permanent transfer from the monograph allocation.

No action items.

#### Women's Studies microform list (Sherri Barnes)

Sherri described the genesis and history of the list and our desiderata for new members. A discussion of microform as a format followed, wandering over many issues, fixing on none. Sherri asked for members to let her know of any new acquisitions.

#### Last copy project, with rationale (Elliot Kanter)

Elliot described the history of the last copy lists (the master list and the "under-agreement" list, which is a subset of the master list). Elliot then distributed a version of the June 2007 revised MOU with suggested edits, which were discussed, and accepted. with the addition of a provision that these be retained, i.e. that notes should go in catalog records about "do not cancel" and also say "retain". Action Item: Elliot will be sending us specific language.

#### Women's Studies Journals list.

David agreed to take responsibility for the Women's Studies list.

#### CDL Print Archive report (Emily Stambaugh)

Please see the January 2007 version, attached, of Ivy Anderson, "Proposed Shared Print Goals and Objectives FY 2007-2009", which was handed out at the meeting, as this formed the basis of Emily's discussion, which was, in essence, a recapitulation of this paper.

Discussion followed. One point to be noted is that it was requested that microforms be considered under the purview of Shared Print. Emily agreed to consider this. No other action resulted.

#### Feminist Press Project (Alanna Aiko Moore)

Alanna provided a brief history of the project. Issues that arose during her presentation and which were subsequently discussed, though not resolved, involved a) budget; and b) whether to purchase “irrelevant” items (those published by the feminist presses that fall under the umbrella of this project, but which are not “feminist” in themselves, and therefore outside the scope of the project’s original intent).

### **Continuation of minutes from Consort Meeting Dec. 10, 2007**

#### Databases of interest, trials

1. Calendar of State Papers (part of British History Online)
  - a. Since this is the index only there wasn’t much enthusiasm. It was decided not to pursue.
2. U.S. Supreme Court Records and Briefs (from Gale Cengage’s Making of Modern Law, 1832-1978)
  - a. Elliot will arrange a trial next year
3. Slavery, Abolition, and Social Justice, 1490-2007 (Adam Matthews)
  - a. Becky will arrange a trial next year
4. Hein Online—historical law journals
  - a. There was some discussion about what campuses currently have subscriptions (UCLA, Berkeley, Davis).

#### Report on Proquest’s proposal to digitize the *San Francisco Chronicle*

Proquest wanted a letter of commitment from libraries saying that they would purchase the *San Francisco Chronicle* (1865-1922). As this is rather difficult to get, no one at the meeting has currently signed the letter. There is a \$46,700 one time fee and then a \$2,000 access fee per year that does not include new content. Elliot will contact Kay Collins, the chair of the newspapers group, to add this to the on going discussion about Proquest newspapers.

#### Report on Riverside Conference: “California Newspapers in the Digital Age”

The National Digital Newspaper Program (NDNP) is a joint venture of the NEH and Library of Congress to create a database over the next 20 years

with newspapers from all states during the years 1836-1922. To see the project so far (six states) go to <http://www.loc.gov/chroniclingamerica/>. The California Digital Newspaper Collection is a part of the NDNF based at Riverside. They have digitized the San Francisco Call, the Amador Ledger, the Imperial Valley Press, the Los Angeles Herald, and the Daily Alta California. Go to <http://www.cdnc.ucr.edu> for more information.

At the conference there was a discussion about the future of digitization projects. There was a demonstration of how past jpegs and other data files have become corrupted.

There was no new business.

Respectfully submitted,

John Bloomberg-Rissman  
Becky Imamoto (Continuation)  
Recorders